

From Column-Level to Cell-Level: Towards Finer-grained Encryption in Apache Parquet

Xinli Shang, Mohammad Islam

Speaker Intro

- Xinli Shang
 - Apache Parquet PMC Chair
 - Manager at Uber Data
- Mahammad Islam
 - Distinguished Engineer at Uber
 - PMC of Apache Oozie and Tez

Agenda

- Apache Parquet introduction
- Modular/column-level encryption
- Cell-level encryption
 - Use cases
 - Challenges
 - Solutions
 - Benchmarking

Big Data Storage File Format

- Columnar storage file format
 - Apache Parquet
 - Widely used Big Data File Format
 - Designed for efficiency, security & interoperability
 - Apache ORC
- Row storage file format
 - Apache Avro, JSON, CSV

Row-oriented v.s. column-oriented storage

Α	В	С		
A1	B1	C1		
A2	B2	C2		
A3	B3	C3		

In row-oriented storage, data is one row at a time



In column-oriented storage, data is one column at a time

	A1	A2	A3	B1	B2	B3	C1	C2	C3
1	_								_

https://blog.twitter.com/engineering/en_us/a/2013/dremel-made-simple-with-parquet

Apache Parquet structure in a high level



- Each file has a foote metadata & schema of the file
- Data is divided into 'row group'
- Each 'row group' has all column data called 'column chunk'
- Each 'column chunk' is further divided into 'page'
- Page is the unit for encoding, compression and encryption

Column(modular) encryption in Apache Parquet

- Released in parquet-mr 1.12.0
- Column (also called module) is encrypted independently with it's own key
- Already adopted by the industry
 - e.g. data retention, encryption-on-write-then-delete after x days
 - One Stone, Three Birds: EngBlog



Finer grain encryption than column level is needed

- A table have mixed data from different country and pii/non-pii
- Different country has different requirements for access and data retention
 - Encrypt data firstly then delete the encryption key after m days
- Different PII data has different sensitivity and requires different protection

$ \ \ $	country	non-pii	latitue	longitude	non-pii	email	non-pii	non-pii
country_a requires location data rentation after x days	country_a							
L	country_b							
country_d requires email personal	country_c							
data can only be accessed by	country_d							
	country_e							

Technical challenges

- Parquet is columnar storage
 - Field/record level encryption go against the design
 - Key metadata, algorithm info need to pass to be stored in place
- Encryption is generally a block operation
 - Doesn't apply to some data types like integer, float, boolean ...

Technical approaches

- FPE(Format Preserving Encryption) in-place encryption
- Column-splitting then column encryption
- Adding string column then record level encryption

Solution 1: FPE in-place encryption

- FPE is used to encrypt cell data while preserving the original data type.
 - double->double
 - string->string
 - 0 ...
- The encryption can be done in-place
 - Plaintext cell data is encrypted in existing cell



Pros & cons of FPE solution

- Pros
 - In-place encryption, no need extra place to hold encrypted data
- Cons
 - Need to record which cell is encrypted and store it somewhere
 - Specification change could impact multiple version of Parquet implementation
 - There are ongoing concerns about FPE
 - FF2 & FF3 are not considered to be cryptographically secure

Solution 2: Column-splitting then column encryption

- Clone columns with the same data type as the original column
 - Adding, write-splitting, read-merging are done inside Parquet
- Apply modular(column) encryption to hidden columns

column_name	column_name	_9b06dfc1_column_name_1	_9b06dfc1_column_name_2
3	3	NULL	NULL
5	NULL/MASKED	encr_key1(5)	NULL
100	100	NULL	NULL
2	NULL/MASKED	encr_key1(2)	NULL
8	NULL/MASKED	NULL	encr_key2(8)

Values with Cell-Level Encryption

Original Values

Pros & cons of column splitting & then module encryption

• Pros

- AES is more secure and mature than FPE
- Column encryption is already adopted in industry, stable and mature now
- No need specification change of Parquet
- Cons
 - Add overhead for splitting columns and merging
 - Synchronization is needed cross columns when applying filter

Solution 3: Add string column & record encryption

- Similar as column-splitting, but only add one single column
- Cell data is encrypted individually and is stored in the string column
 - The encrypted string contains key metadata, algorithm info...
 - Merge the two columns when reading

column_name	
3	
5	
100	
2	
8	

<u> Original Values</u>

Values with Cell-Level Encryption

column_name	_9b06dfc1_column_name_1		
3	NULL		
NULL/MASKED	'key1_aes_xxx'		
100	NULL		
NULL/MASKED	'key1_aes_yyy'		
NULL/MASKED	'key2_aes_zzz'		

Pros & cons of adding string column & record encryption

• Pros

- Add less columns than approach #2
- Cons
 - String column has more overhead
 - Each record need to carry the key metadata, encr algorithm. Add more space overhead

Current status

- Approach #2 (column-splitting) is recommended in community
- Requesting for more comments (<u>parquet-2116</u>, <u>design doc</u>)
- Internal implementation is rolled out to production. Will open PR shortly.

Overhead benchmark of approach #2

• Space overhead

- Hidden columns add more size
- Change of data order in original column can result in size increase

• Time overhead

- More time needed for splitting in write and merging in read
- Need to deal with more data as discussed in space overhead

Space overhead

- 3.1 GHz Quad-Core Intel Core i7,
- 16G 2133 MHz Memory
- macOS Monterey Version 12.2.1(21D62)



- 5 columns: 1 long and 4 string
- Uncompressed file size is 152MB



Time overhead

- 3.1 GHz Quad-Core Intel Core i7,
- 16G 2133 MHz Memory
- macOS Monterey Version 12.2.1(21D62)

Time Overhead (Sorted Data with GZIP Compression)

- 5 columns: 1 long and 4 string
- Uncompressed file size is 152MB







Percentage of that column encrypted

Compatibility of Approach #2

- Backward compatibility
 - Parquet with this feature read data written by older version Parquet
 - No changed behavior is expected
- Forward compatibility
 - Older version Parquet read cell-level encrypted data
 - No specification change but it adds hidden column
 - $\circ \quad \text{Next slide} \rightarrow$

Forward compatibility of Approach #2

Requested Schema	Behavior
No cell-encrypted data is requested	No change
Request original column but no hidden column	return data with null or masked value
Request original column with hidden column like 'select *'	Ether throw exception or user see extra columns



- Introduce cell-level encryption
- Several approaches available
 - FPE & in-place
 - Column-splitting then modular encryption (recommended)
 - Adding string column then record encryption
- Benchmarking, compatibility, current status



We are hiring!

email: shangxinli@apache.org